



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,803		05/31/2001	Lawrence J. Choi	1005/006	6606
34060	7590	04/20/2005		EXAM	INER
MICHAEL			PHAM, KHANH B		
1341 HUNTERSFIELD CLOSE KESWICK, VA 22947				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			•	2167	,

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/867,803	CHOI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh B. Pham	2167			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) or riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fra atute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0.	<u> 3 December 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7 and 8</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	·			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not recei	ved.			
Attachment(s)		,			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ir atent Application (F10-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 04152005			

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on December 3, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.
- 2. If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McLennan ("Statistics A Powerful Edge!", 1998), hereinafter "McLennan".

As per claim 1, McLennan teaches a computer-assisted method (Pages 37-45) for evaluating cluster assignment for an observation comprising:

 "for each of a plurality of observations, obtaining a data set containing no more than one proxy value for each of a plurality of variables, each variable having a plurality of possible values" at page 81, example section.

(McLennan teaches a data set obtained from an observation of battery life for thirty AA size batteries, the data set contains one proxy value for each variables (i.e., the minutes), wherein the possible value for each variables ranges from 360 to 439)

 "the data set also containing a cluster assignment for the observation, the cluster assignment identifying one cluster from a plurality of clusters" at the table at page 81;

(McLennan assigns the observations into eight different clusters, based on the proxy values of the variables)

 "for each observation from the plurality of observations, calculating a percent of proxy values for the plurality of variables that equal a mode of that observation's corresponding cluster's proxy values for the corresponding variables" at pages 83 and 144;

(The table at page 83 shows the mode of the observation is 7 (i.e., "the most frequently observed value") and the percent

Art Unit: 2167

of proxy values for the plurality of variable that equal a mode is 23% as shown in the "Percentage frequency" column.)

"outputting the percent for each observation" at page 83.

Claims 5 and 6 recite "a computer readable medium containing instruction" and "an apparatus" for performing the method similar to claim 1, and therefore are also rejected by the same rational. McLennan also teaches the uses of computer system and software applications for performing data analysis at pages 37-43.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2, 7-8 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Applicant's argument presented in the Appeal Brief date December 3, 2004 regarding claims 2, 7-8 is persuasive. Because applicants have elected to act as their own lexicographer, the examiner therefore relied on Applicant's specification for the definitions of claimed limitation: "estimating a purposeful probability" as defined at page 25, line 14 through page 26, line 1. In view of the specification, prior art of record does not teach the combination of claimed elements including "estimating a purposeful probability" as claimed. Thus, prior art of record neither renders obvious nor anticipates

Art Unit: 2167

the combination of claimed elements in light of the specification. After a further search and a thorough examination of the present application and in light of the prior art made of record and applicant's specification, claims 2, 7-8 are allowed.

Claims 3-4 have been previously indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

If a reference indicated as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is (571) 272-3574 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-4116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2167

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh B. Pham Examiner Art Unit 2167

Page 6

KBP April 15, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Primary Examinar